



Mountain View Elementary

6350 Mountain View Road
Taylors, SC 29687

| | | |
|-----------------------|------------------------|--------------|
| Grades | PK-5 Elementary School | |
| Enrollment | 804 Students | |
| Principal | Jennifer Gibson | 864-355-6800 |
| Superintendent | Mr. Burke Royster | 864-355-3100 |
| Board Chair | Mr. Roger Meek | 864-233-8587 |

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA 2012 ANNUAL SCHOOL REPORT CARD

RATINGS OVER 5-YEAR PERIOD

| YEAR | ABSOLUTE RATING | GROWTH RATING |
|-------------|-----------------|---------------|
| 2012 | Good | Good |
| 2011 | Good | Good |
| 2010 | Good | Good |
| 2009 | Average | Average |
| 2008 | Average | Below Average |

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent – School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Good – School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Average – School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Below Average – School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- At-Risk – School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision

SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE VISION

By 2020 all students will graduate with the knowledge and skills necessary to compete successfully in the global economy, participate in a democratic society and contribute positively as members of families and communities.

<http://ed.sc.gov>
<http://www.eoc.sc.gov>

Percent of Student PASS Records Matched for Purpose of Computing Growth Rating

Percent of students tested in 2011-12 whose 2010-11 test scores were located


97%

ABSOLUTE RATINGS OF ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS WITH STUDENTS LIKE OURS*

| Excellent | Good | Average | Below Average | At-Risk |
|-----------|------|---------|---------------|---------|
| 25 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 |

* Ratings are calculated with data available by 11/07/2012.

Palmetto Assessment of State Standards (PASS)



* Elementary schools with Students Like Ours are elementary schools with poverty indices of no more than 5% above or below the index for the school.

Definition of Critical Terms

| | |
|-----------|---|
| Exemplary | "Exemplary" means the student demonstrated exemplary performance in meeting the grade level standard. |
| Met | "Met" means the student met the grade level standard. |
| Not Met | "Not Met" means that the student did not meet the grade level standard. |

Abbreviations for Missing Data

N/A–Not Applicable N/AV–Not Available N/C–Not Collected N/R–Not Reported I/S–Insufficient Sample

School Profile

| | Our School | Change from Last Year | Elementary Schools with Students Like Ours | Median Elementary School |
|--|------------|-----------------------|--|--------------------------|
| Students (n=804) | | | | |
| First graders who attended full-day kindergarten | 100.0% | No Change | 100.0% | 100.0% |
| Retention rate | 1.7% | Down from 2.1% | 0.9% | 1.0% |
| Attendance rate | 96.7% | Up from 96.4% | 97.1% | 96.6% |
| Served by gifted and talented program | N/AV | N/AV | N/AV | N/AV |
| With disabilities other than speech | N/AV | N/AV | N/AV | N/AV |
| Older than usual for grade | N/AV | N/AV | N/AV | N/AV |
| Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent and/or criminal offenses | 0.0% | No Change | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Teachers (n=44) | | | | |
| Teachers with advanced degrees | 70.5% | Down from 71.1% | 68.2% | 63.0% |
| Continuing contract teachers | N/AV | N/AV | N/AV | N/AV |
| Teachers returning from previous year | 93.5% | Up from 92.7% | 90.7% | 88.7% |
| Teacher attendance rate | 93.8% | Up from 93.2% | 95.9% | 95.1% |
| Average teacher salary* | \$48,697 | Up 1.3% | \$49,025 | \$47,210 |
| Professional development days/teacher | 10.5 days | Up from 10.4 days | 10.3 days | 10.5 days |
| School | | | | |
| Principal's years at school | 1.0 | Down from 16.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Student-teacher ratio in core subjects | 23.4 to 1 | Down from 23.8 to 1 | 21.5 to 1 | 20.0 to 1 |
| Prime instructional time | 90.0% | Down from 91.1% | 91.6% | 90.5% |
| Opportunities in the arts | Good | No Change | Good | Good |
| SACS accreditation | Yes | No Change | Yes | Yes |
| Parents attending conferences | 100.0% | No Change | 100.0% | 100.0% |
| Character development program | Excellent | No Change | Excellent | Excellent |
| Dollars spent per pupil** | \$5,712 | Down 4.8% | \$6,771 | \$7,247 |
| Percent of expenditures for instruction** | 68.5% | Down from 68.8% | 68.5% | 68.2% |
| Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries** | 68.4% | Up from 67.8% | 66.4% | 65.7% |

* Includes current year teachers contracted for 185 or more days.

** Prior year audited financial data are reported.

Abbreviations for Missing Data

N/A–Not Applicable N/AV–Not Available N/C–Not Collected N/R–Not Reported I/S–Insufficient Sample

Report of Principal and School Improvement Council

The mission of Mountain View Elementary in cooperation with the community is to provide a safe, positive environment where children build skills, knowledge, and character needed for lifelong learning. Mountain View Elementary is a warm, community centered school steeped in rich tradition. We have received the Red Carpet Award three times, which honors schools that provide warm, friendly environments where people not only are welcomed but also are made to feel part of the school family. We are the first school in Greenville County to be a three time award winner. Mountain View values not only customer service, but safety for every child. We have received the Safe Schools award five times. Our faculty members participate in many worthwhile professional development opportunities including the pursuit of advanced degrees, technology training, and Project Read training. Project Read is the uniform delivery system for Language Arts instruction. All teachers are fully trained in strategies and implementation in the areas of phonics, written expression, and reading comprehension. Nine teachers currently have National Board certification. Our Professional Development School partnership with North Greenville University continues to grow and strengthen as we support interns, members of our faculty serve on the NGU Advisory Council, and our PDS committee works to commit our mission and goals to a written document. We also offer many opportunities for students to develop leadership skills and to take part in service learning. We continue to maintain the school portfolio. The portfolio is a working document that is updated annually. The purpose of the portfolio is to create a clear picture of who we are and how we go about the business of educating children. The portfolio contains information about our school demographics, our current programs, our partnerships with business and community, and test score data. We can use these data to evaluate programs and policies and assess their effectiveness. In the fall of 2012 our school will undergo a complete refresh of our technological hardware. With the upgraded resources, we will continue to advance both teacher and student technology proficiency. We will ensure technology is integrated into all areas of instruction. It will continue to be used as a major means of communication.

Jennifer Gibson, Principal
Mrs. Dee Klug, SIC Committee Chairpersons

Evaluations by Teachers, Students and Parents

| | Teachers | Students* | Parents* |
|--|----------|-----------|----------|
| Number of surveys returned | 44 | 133 | 75 |
| Percent satisfied with learning environment | 100.0% | 93.2% | 94.4% |
| Percent satisfied with social and physical environment | 100.0% | 97.7% | 94.7% |
| Percent satisfied with school-home relations | 100.0% | 95.4% | 78.7% |

* Only students at the highest elementary school grade level and their parents were included.

Abbreviations for Missing Data

N/A–Not Applicable N/AV–Not Available N/C–Not Collected N/R–Not Reported I/S–Insufficient Sample

ESEA/Federal Accountability Rating System

In July 2012, the South Carolina Department of Education was granted a waiver from several accountability requirements of the federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA). This waiver allowed SC to replace the former pass/fail system with one that utilizes more of the statewide assessments already in place and combine these subject area results with graduation rate (in high schools) to determine if each school met the target or made progress toward the target. This analysis results in a letter grade for the school rather than the pass/fail system of previous years. For a detailed review of the matrix for each school and districts that determined the letter grade, please use the following link: <http://ed.sc.gov/data/eSEA/> or request this information from your child's district or school.

| | |
|-------------------------------|------|
| Overall Weighted Points Total | 89.7 |
| Overall Grade Conversion | B |

| Index Score | Grade | Description |
|--------------|-------|---|
| 90-100 | A | Performance substantially exceeds the state's expectations. |
| 80-89.9 | B | Performance exceeds the state's expectations. |
| 70-79.9 | C | Performance meets the state's expectations. |
| 60-69.9 | D | Performance does not meet the state's expectations. |
| Less than 60 | F | Performance is substantially below the state's expectations |

Accountability Indicator for Title I Schools

Mountain View Elementary school has been designated as a:

- ☐ Title I Reward School for Performance - among the highest performing Title I schools in a given year.
- ☐ Title I Reward School for Progress – one of the schools with substantial progress in student subgroups.
- ☐ Title I Focus School – one of the schools with the highest average performance gap between subgroups.
- ☐ Title I Priority School – one of the 5% lowest performing Title I schools.
- ☐ Title I School – does not qualify as Reward, Focus or Priority School.
- ☒ Non-Title I School – therefore the designations above are not applicable.

Teacher Quality and Student Attendance

| | Our District | State |
|---|--------------|-------|
| Classes in low poverty schools not taught by highly qualified teachers | 2.1% | 2.6% |
| Classes in high poverty schools not taught by highly qualified teachers | 3.2% | 5.1% |

| | Our School | State Objective | Met State Objective |
|---|------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers | 0.0% | 0.0% | Yes |
| Student attendance rate | 96.7% | 94.0%* | Yes |

* Or greater than last year

Abbreviations for Missing Data

Performance By Group

| Subgroups | ELA Mean | Math Mean | Science Mean | Social Studies Mean | ELA % Tested | Math % Tested |
|-----------------------------------|----------|-----------|--------------|---------------------|--------------|---------------|
| Grades 3-5 | | | | | | |
| All Students | 671.3 | 658.5 | 630.8 | 651.6 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Male | 661.1 | 657.6 | 629.3 | 652.7 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Female | 680.4 | 659.3 | 632.1 | 650.6 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| White | 673.3 | 659.9 | 631.8 | 653.4 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| African American | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Hispanic | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| American Indian/Alaskan | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Disabled | 623.1 | 614.5 | 589.7 | 625.8 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Limited English Proficient | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Subsidized meals | 655.9 | 648.7 | 620.3 | 638.1 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) | 630.0 | 630.0 | 630.0 | 630.0 | 95.0 | 95.0 |

Abbreviations for Missing Data

N/A–Not Applicable N/AV–Not Available N/C–Not Collected N/R–Not Reported I/S–Insufficient Sample

PASS Performance By Grade Level

| | Grade | Enrollment 1st Day of Testing | % Tested | % Not Met | % Met | % Exemplary | % Met or Exemplary |
|-----------------------|-------|----------------------------------|----------|-----------|-------|-------------|-----------------------|
| English/Language Arts | | | | | | | |
| 2011 | 3 | 126 | 100 | 10.3 | 34.1 | 55.6 | 89.7 |
| | 4 | 134 | 100 | 11.5 | 51.9 | 36.6 | 88.5 |
| | 5 | 132 | 100 | 9.4 | 45.7 | 44.9 | 90.6 |
| | 6 | N/A | N/AV | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| | 7 | N/A | N/AV | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| | 8 | N/A | N/AV | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2012 | 3 | 129 | 100 | 11.9 | 24.6 | 63.5 | 88.1 |
| | 4 | 130 | 100 | 8.5 | 41.5 | 50 | 91.5 |
| | 5 | 140 | 100 | 12.2 | 38.1 | 49.6 | 87.8 |
| | 6 | N/A | N/AV | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| | 7 | N/A | N/AV | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| | 8 | N/A | N/AV | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Mathematics | | | | | | | |
| 2011 | 3 | 126 | 100 | 17.5 | 36.5 | 46 | 82.5 |
| | 4 | 134 | 100 | 8.4 | 42.7 | 48.9 | 91.6 |
| | 5 | 132 | 100 | 18.9 | 52.8 | 28.3 | 81.1 |
| | 6 | N/A | N/AV | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| | 7 | N/A | N/AV | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| | 8 | N/A | N/AV | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2012 | 3 | 129 | 100 | 19 | 35.7 | 45.2 | 81 |
| | 4 | 130 | 100 | 10 | 44.6 | 45.4 | 90 |
| | 5 | 140 | 100 | 15.8 | 56.1 | 28.1 | 84.2 |
| | 6 | N/A | N/AV | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| | 7 | N/A | N/AV | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| | 8 | N/A | N/AV | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Science | | | | | | | |
| 2011 | 3 | 65 | 100 | 23.1 | 60 | 16.9 | 76.9 |
| | 4 | 134 | 100 | 19.8 | 64.1 | 16 | 80.2 |
| | 5 | 65 | 100 | 15.9 | 60.3 | 23.8 | 84.1 |
| | 6 | N/A | N/AV | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| | 7 | N/A | N/AV | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| | 8 | N/A | N/AV | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2012 | 3 | 65 | 100 | 37.5 | 45.3 | 17.2 | 62.5 |
| | 4 | 130 | 100 | 14.6 | 78.5 | 6.9 | 85.4 |
| | 5 | 70 | 100 | 18.8 | 58 | 23.2 | 81.2 |
| | 6 | N/A | N/AV | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| | 7 | N/A | N/AV | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| | 8 | N/A | N/AV | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

Abbreviations for Missing Data

N/A–Not Applicable N/AV–Not Available N/C–Not Collected N/R–Not Reported I/S–Insufficient Sample

PASS Performance By Grade Level

| | Grade | Enrollment 1st Day of Testing | % Tested | % Not Met | % Met | % Exemplary | % Met or Exemplary |
|----------------|-------|----------------------------------|----------|-----------|-------|-------------|-----------------------|
| Social Studies | | | | | | | |
| 2011 | 3 | 61 | 100 | 16.4 | 60.7 | 23 | 83.6 |
| | 4 | 134 | 100 | 15.3 | 58 | 26.7 | 84.7 |
| | 5 | 67 | 100 | 17.2 | 51.6 | 31.3 | 82.8 |
| | 6 | N/A | N/AV | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| | 7 | N/A | N/AV | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2012 | 8 | N/A | N/AV | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| | 3 | 64 | 100 | 11.3 | 56.5 | 32.3 | 88.7 |
| | 4 | 130 | 100 | 9.2 | 50.8 | 40 | 90.8 |
| | 5 | 70 | 100 | 12.9 | 51.4 | 35.7 | 87.1 |
| | 6 | N/A | N/AV | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2012 | 7 | N/A | N/AV | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| | 8 | N/A | N/AV | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Writing | | | | | | | |
| 2011 | 3 | N/A | N/AV | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| | 4 | N/A | N/AV | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| | 5 | 130 | 100 | 23.6 | 49.6 | 26.8 | 76.4 |
| | 6 | N/A | N/AV | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| | 7 | N/A | N/AV | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2012 | 8 | N/A | N/AV | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| | 3 | N/A | N/AV | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| | 4 | N/A | N/AV | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| | 5 | 140 | 100 | 17.3 | 44.6 | 38.1 | 82.7 |
| | 6 | N/A | N/AV | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2012 | 7 | N/A | N/AV | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| | 8 | N/A | N/AV | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

Abbreviations for Missing Data

N/A–Not Applicable

N/AV–Not Available

N/C–Not Collected

N/R–Not Reported

I/S–Insufficient Sample